

Journal of Neurotherapy: Investigations in Neuromodulation, Neurofeedback and Applied Neuroscience

EEG Biofeedback Case Studies Using Live Z-Score Training and a Normative Database

Thomas F. Collura PhD $^{\rm a}$, Joseph Guan MM.ED, PhD $^{\rm b}$, Jeffrey Tarrant PhD $^{\rm c}$, John Bailey PhD $^{\rm d}$ & Fred Starr MD

^a BrainMaster Technologies, Inc. , Bedford, OH

^b Brain Enhancement Center , Singapore

^c Columbia Neurofeedback Center , Columbia, MO

^d Allina Medical Clinic , Northfield, MN Published online: 26 Feb 2010.

To cite this article: Thomas F. Collura PhD, Joseph Guan MM.ED, PhD, Jeffrey Tarrant PhD, John Bailey PhD & Fred Starr MD (2010) EEG Biofeedback Case Studies Using Live Z-Score Training and a Normative Database, Journal of Neurotherapy: Investigations in Neuromodulation, Neurofeedback and Applied Neuroscience, 14:1, 22-46, DOI: <u>10.1080/10874200903543963</u>

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10874200903543963</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

© International Society for Neurofeedback and Research (ISNR), all rights reserved. This article (the "Article") may be accessed online from ISNR at no charge. The Article may be viewed online, stored in electronic or physical form, or archived for research, teaching, and private study purposes. The Article may be archived in public libraries or university libraries at the direction of said public library or university library. Any other reproduction of the Article for redistribution, sale, resale, loan, sublicensing, systematic supply, or other distribution, including both physical and electronic reproduction for such purposes, is expressly forbidden. Preparing or reproducing derivative works of this article is expressly forbidden. ISNR makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of any content in the Article. From 1995 to 2013 the *Journal of Neurotherapy* was the official publication of ISNR (www. Isnr.org); on April 27, 2016 ISNR acquired the journal from Taylor & Francis Group, LLC. In 2014, ISNR established its official open-access journal *NeuroRegulation* (ISSN: 2373-0587; www.neuroregulation.org).

THIS OPEN-ACCESS CONTENT MADE POSSIBLE BY THESE GENEROUS SPONSORS



Journal of Neurotherapy, 14:22–46, 2010 Copyright © 2010 ISNR. All rights reserved. ISSN: 1087-4208 print/1530-017X online DOI: 10.1080/10874200903543963

EEG Biofeedback Case Studies Using Live Z-Score Training and a Normative Database

Thomas F. Collura, PhD Joseph Guan, MM.ED, PhD Jeffrey Tarrant, PhD John Bailey, PhD Fred Starr, MD

ABSTRACT. This article summarizes clinical results using a neurofeedback approach that has been developed over the last several years and is seeing increasing clinical use. All participants used a form of live Z-score training (LZT) that produces sound and video feedback, based on a computation using a normative database to produce multiple targets. The client receives simple feedback that reflects a complex set of relationships between amplitude and connectivity metrics. Changes in the EEG are readily seen that conform to the reinforcement parameters being used in relation to the live Z-scores. In addition, over multiple sessions, QEEG data are seen to change significantly, generally on a path toward overall remediation. In this series of case studies LZT is seen to effectively address EEG abnormalities in a structured fashion and to facilitate normalization of the EEG. In individual cases, specific changes are observed, related to the initial conditions, and the brain's ability to respond with appropriate changes. Overall, LZT is found to be a relatively efficient form of neurofeedback that can be demonstrated to be effective in a variety of clinical scenarios.

KEYWORDS. Biofeedback, live Z-score training, multivariate proportional training, neuro-feedback, normative database, QEEG

INTRODUCTION

This article discusses the technical background, and initial clinical results obtained, in an implementation of live Z-score based training (LZT) in an EEG biofeedback system. This approach makes it possible to compute, view, and process normative Zscores in real time as a fundamental element of EEG biofeedback. Although employing

Thomas F. Collura is affiliated with BrainMaster Technologies, Inc., Bedford, OH.

Joseph Guan is affiliated with the Brain Enhancement Center, Singapore.

Jeffrey Tarrant is affiliated with the Columbia Neurofeedback Center, Columbia, MO.

John Bailey is affiliated with Allina Medical Clinic, Northfield, MN.

Fred Starr is in practice in Nashville, TN.

Address correspondence to: Thomas F. Collura, PhD, BrainMaster Technologies, Inc., 195 Willis Street, Suite #3, Bedford, OH 44146 (E-mail: tomc1@brainm.com).

Dr. Collura has a financial interest in BrainMaster Technologies, Inc. Certain of the methods described here are patent pending in the United States, Canada, and Europe. We acknowledge the following for their contributions to the case studies in this article: Doerte Klein, PhD; Penijean Rutter, MA; Nancy Wigton, MA; Harry Kerasidis, MD; Charles R. Stark, MD; and Jonathan Walker, MD.

the same type of database as conventional QEEG postprocessing software, LZT software is configured to produce results in real time, suiting it to live assessment and training, rather than solely for analysis and review.

The Z-scores described here are based on a published database and computed using the same software code that exists in the analysis software, when used in "dynamic JTFA" (joint time-frequency analysis) mode. The database includes more than 600 people, ages 2 to 82. The system computes real-time Z-scores using joint time-frequency analysis rather than using the Fast Fourier Transform, which is more commonly used for obtaining postprocessed results. As a result, Z-scores are available instantaneously, without windowing delays, and can be used to provide real-time information.

Initial LZT implementations have used a single Z-score, or a small number of Z-scores, for example, "all coherences," to develop the feedback. In our work, we have come to use generally all available Z-scores in the training, providing an effective boundary around the EEG activity, within which the trainee learns to put their EEG.

All of the cases described here use a specific form of Z-score training that has evolved over several years (Collura, 2008a, 2008b, 2009; Collura, Thatcher, Smith, Lambos, & Stark, 2009). Using this method, up to 248 simultaneous Z-scores are trained at once, using a single metric that reflects the instantaneous state of all of the Z-scores. The method makes it possible to target particular Z-scores for normalization, while avoiding overtraining "outliers" and while also giving the brain sufficient freedom to choose a path of self-regulation that is not limited to "training to the norm."

METHODS

The concept of using Z-scores to provide biofeedback in real time was proposed by Thatcher (1998, 1999, 2004). Collura and Thatcher (2006) discussed details and implications of a practical design approach. The first reported implementation with clinical results were reported by DuRousseau (2007), Smith (2008), Stark (2008), Wigton (2008), and Collura et al. (2009). These reports included six case studies with documented OEEG and clinical benefits, and employed the Lifespan Database reported by Thatcher (1998) as a means of computing Z-scores in real time. Based on these scores, feedback variables were computed and reflected to the user in the form of sounds and graphic feedback of the type normally used for conventional neurofeedback. Since these reports were published, a number of clinicians have adopted the use of four channels of what we now call LZT in their practices. This article compiles a set of case studies that were submitted upon request, as a means for disseminating clinical findings, as well as psychometric and QEEG data that are available. All of the cases in this article used the same approach to LZT training, which is described in more detail next. This article also discusses possible relationships between the specific training algorithms used, and the EEG changes that were observed.

Figure 1 shows the Live Z-score Text display panel that is used by the practitioner. All 248 Z-scores are displayed for the fourchannel montage. The display updates continually, and the color of the text indicates whether the Z-score is currently within or outside of the standard boundaries of 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 standard deviations. Clinicians learn to watch this screen, to quickly identify deviant scores, and to watch for patterns in time and space, as the brain adjusts to the training parameters being presented. The color coding rules for this text display are not adjustable and do not depend on the training criteria. They are therefore a consistent representation of the client's brain state; changes in this screen reflect objective changes in the EEG, and this screen can be relied upon to give a dependable "reading" of the client's brain. The screen becomes, in a sense, a navigational panel that guides the assessment and treatment in real time. This approach compresses the usual hours, days, or weeks required to get a quantitative EEG assessment into a fraction of a second and performs the assessment continually. The difference between watching live Z-scores and reading a conventional QEEG report is similar to

FIGURE 1. Live Z-score text display (four channels = 248 Z-scores). *Note.* Z-scores are colored to show when they are above normal range (yellow, orange, red) or below normal range (green, turquoise, blue).

SITES: 01 Pz (E0)	Abs	Re	I Ra	t/T F	at/A	Rat/B	Rat/G	SI	TES: 1	F4 P4 (E0))	Abs	Rel 02	Rat/T	Rat/A	Rat/B	Rat/	G
Theta (4.0-8.0)	1.0	0.7	, .	·	0.8	0.6	0.8	Ť	neta (4	0.8-0)		18	0.5	0.2	0.8	0.4	0.6	
Alpha (8.0-12.5)	-0.0	-0.1	6			-0.2	0.0	A	pha (8	3.0-12.5)		0.8	-0.6			-0.4	-0.1	
Beta (12.5-25.5)	0.2	-0.3	3				0.0	Be	eta (12	2.5-25.5)		1.3	-0.1				0.3	
Beta 1 (12.0-15.5)	-0.1	-0.5	5					Be	eta 1 (12.0-15.5	6	0.9	-0.4					
Beta 2 (15.0-18.0)	0.3	-0.	1					Be	eta 21	15.0-18.0	n	1.4	-0.1					
Beta 3 (18.0-25.5)	0.8	0.4	4					Be	eta 3 í	18.0-25.5	1	1.7	0.3					
Gamma (25.5-30.5)	0.2	-0.3	2					G	amma	(25.5-30	.5)	1.1	-0.2					
Delta (1.0-4.0)	1.0	0.3	3 -0.	1 ().5	0.2	0.6	De	elta (1	.0-4.0)		1.3	0.4	0.0	0.7	0.4	0.8	
Theta (4.0-8.0)	1.1	0.4	1	(0.6	0.3	0.7	Th	neta (4	1.0-8.01		1.3	0.4		0.8	0.4	0.8	
Alpha (8.0-12.5)	0.2	-0.1	6			-0.3	0.0	A	pha (8	3.0-12.5)		0.2	-0.8			-0.4	-0.0	
Beta (12.5-25.5)	0.7	-0.	1				0.4	Be	eta (12	2.5-25.51		0.8	-0.2				0.4	
Beta 1 (12.0-15.5)	0.2	-0.	5					Be	eta 1 í	12.0-15.5	n i	0.2	-0.7					
Beta 2 (15.0-18.0)	0.8	-0.0	0					Be	eta 2 í	15.0-18.0	n	0.9	-0.1					
Beta 3 (18.0-25.5)	0.9	0.2	2					Be	eta 3 í	18.0-25.5	1	1.1	0.1					
Gamma (25.5-30.5)	0.5	-0.3	2					G	amma	(25.5-30	.5)	0.7	-0.3					
01	-PZASY	COH	PHA01	TAAS	(COI	1PHA0	1-P4ASY	COL	1 PHA	Pz-T4:AS	Y CC	OH PHA	APz-P4AS	Y COI	IPHAT4	-P4ASY	COH	PHA
Delta (1.0-4.0)	-0.4	-0.5	0.4	-0.9	0.4	-0.3	-0.8	-0.1	0.1	-0.	6 -0.	0 0.1	-0.4	-1.7	1.4	0.4	0.1	0.1
Theta (4.0-8.0)	-0.1	0.5	-0.2	-0.7	1.0	-0.5	-0.3	0.6	-0.3	-0.	7 1.	-0.5	-0.3	0.4	-0.1	0.7	1.1	-0.6
Alpha (8.0-12.5)	-0.3	0.3	-0.2	-0.8	-0.3	-0.1	-0.2	-0.0	-0.0	-0.	6 -0.	3 -0.0	-0.0	-0.1	0.1	0.7	0.2 ·	-0.2
Beta (12.5-25.5)	-0.5	1.4	-0.4	-0.9	1.0	-0.4	-0.6	1.5	-0.4	-0.	6 U.I	8 -0.3	-0.2	0.7	-0.2	0.5	0.5	-0.2
Beta 1 112.0-15.51	-0.3	0.2	-0.1	-0.8	-0.0	-0.0	-0.3	0.5	-0.3	-0.	b -0.	3 0.0	-0.1	-0.0	-0.1	0.6	-0.3	0.1
Beta 2 (10.0-18.0)	-0.5	0.8	-0.4	-0.9	0.2	-0.4	-0.5	0.7	-0.4	-0.	3 0.4	2 -0.3	-0.2	0.4	-0.2	0.4	0.2 .	-0.1
Deta J 110.0-25.51	-0.2	1.0	-0.0	-0.8	0.0	-0.0	-0.3	11	-0.7	-0.	(U.	0.4	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	0.6	0.3	0.2
Gamma (25.5-30.5)	-0.3	1.0	-0.4	-0.7	0.3	-0.3	-0.4		-0.3	-0.3	J U.	2 -0.2	-0.2	0.4	-0.2	U.3	0.2 .	-0.2

the difference between watching a baseball game or a golf match compared with reading the statistics after the fact. They both give a valuable indication of the condition and state of the subject, but the live representation allows the practitioner to understand how the numbers got to be what they are, in terms that are dynamic and neurophysiologically meaningful.

While observing the LZT Text display, clinicians set up reward training by specifying three key parameters: the upper and lower bounds of the Z-score "target window" and the percentage of Z-scores that must be within these bounds to achieve a reward. The use of the percentage of Z-scores is a nonobvious yet critical step and has profound impact on the training. Its value has been found through repeated clinical treatment sessions and by observing the LZT Text display during the training process.

Figure 2 shows two additional panels of the training screen. The upper area shows



FIGURE 2. Live Z-score display panels used to control feedback.

the current value of the "PercentZOK" value, which is the percentage of Z-scores meeting the training criteria at the current moment. In addition, the percentage of time that the trainee meets the conditions, being the "percent reward" is also shown. The upper and lower Z-score targets are then shown. The lower area shows the progress of the training, as the PercentZOK variable is being monitored and trained. There is a threshold that it must meet, to get a reward, and there is also the percentage of time that this is being met, shown in a trend graph. The clinician watches all of these values closelv and watches the trend graph throughout training. This gives the clinician control of the variables that determine how the feedback is produced.

This method employs a Z-score "target" that is expressed in standard deviations (e.g., -1.5 to 2.0 SDs) and produces rewards when a specified percentage of all Z-scores meet the criterion. It does not require all Z-scores to be within the target window. The percentage of Z-scores achieved thus becomes a

"proportional" feedback variable, rather than a simple "on/off" feedback signal.

One might consider widening the target window to accommodate all Z-scores. However, this was observed in early studies to provide the brain with too much freedom in which to operate. For example, using a wide-enough window to accommodate highly deviant amplitudes would allow other parameters to move from a normal to an abnormal range, while the EEG continued to meet the overall training condition. This motivated the approach that allows some Z-scores to remain outside the target range yet effectively be ignored.

Figure 3 shows the important relationship between target size and percentage of Zscores required, in various training scenarios. This concept was not obvious when work began, and it is not necessarily obvious to other developers of real-time Z-score systems. Naively, one might think that by allowing a subset of Z-scores to provide the feedback, it is no different than simply ignoring some set of scores, or setting an upper range where

FIGURE 3. Relationship between size of LZT targets, and the use of "Percent ZOK" to establish reward criteria. *Note.* Within this model, clinicians can choose which aspects of training to emphasize, and which to vary.



Z-scores are allowed to go. But neither of these methods produces the same effect. When one overtly ignores Z-scores, then the practitioner has decided what is important and what is not. If an upper band of Z-scores is rewarded, this would produce a "vortex," or attractor, that would pull certain scores into the abnormal range.

By specifically allowing a percentage of any of the Z-scores to be out of the target range, the brain is allowed to decide how it meets the strategy of normalization. Extreme outliers are effectively ignored, but which scores they are may change from moment to moment. Finally, by selecting the target size and position, it is possible to "comb" through the tangle of Z-scores, and give the brain information relating to a certain boundary of its function, and allow it to learn from different regions of its function.

Different practitioners give different emphasis to the use of this range of reward strategies. One clinician (NW) emphasizes working at the low end of the reward range, using 25% to 40% feedback rates. Other practitioners work at higher levels of reward, up to and above 90% reward. Generally, it is found that adjusting these values during the session is valuable, and provides important flexibility to the training.

Figure 4 shows the LZT review and selection screen. This is used to select Z-scores for graphical analysis and to search for deviant Z-scores to include on the report. By specifying the condition for Z-scores to be viewed, the system then selects those that have met that condition and allows them to be viewed and graphed. This is useful when checking Z-scores after a session, to determine how they have changed. As in the training display panel, patterns in the deviant Z-scores are visible evident, so that combinations of amplitude or connectivity scores that tend "go out" together, or show definite to patterns, are readily recognized.

Figure 5 shows a typical graphical summary of progress. This is the change in the most deviant Z-scores during a 40-min demonstration session. The change in Z-scores within the session is clearly evident. This graph is extremely useful in seeing the brain's progress during a session and between

FIGURE 4. Live Z-score selection screen, used for review of session data.

Channel 1	Abs	Rel	Rat/T	Rat/A	Rat/B	Rat/G	Channel 3	Abs	Rel	Rat/T	Rat/A	Rat/B	Rat/G
DELTA (1-4)		Г	T	~	~		DELTA (1-4)		Г		~	Г	V
THETA (4-8)		T_		1	T_		THETA (4-8)		~		Г	Г	
ALPHA (8-12.5)				-			ALPHA (8-12.5)		V				
BETA (12.5-25.5)					12 C		BETA (12.5-25.5)		1			00.00	
BETA1 (12.5-15.5)	~	Г				1000	BETA1 (12.5-15.5)						
BETA2 (15-18)	~	1					BETA2 (15-18)		Г				
BETA3 (18-25.5)		Г					BETA3 (18-25.5)		Г				
GAMMA (25.5-30.5)	~	T.]				GAMMA (25.5-30.5)		Г				
Channel 2	1						Channel 4	1					
DELTA (1-4)		1-	1	V			DELTA (1-4)		Г	Г	Г	F	
THETA (4-8)		1-	-	-	-	-	THETA (4-8)		-	-	-	1	
ALPHA (8-12.5)	- i	-			1-		ALPHA (8-12.5)		-	-	P	-	
BETA (12 5-25.5)		1-	1		P	_	BETA (12 5-25 5)		17	-		P	<u> </u>
BETA1 (12.5-15.5)		F	-			<u> </u>	BETA1 (12.5-15.5)		17	-			<u> </u>
BETA2 (15-18)		i-					BETA2 (15-18)		17	-			
BETA3 (18-25.5)		1-	-				BETA3 (18-25.5)		-	-			
GAMMA (25.5-30.5)		-	-				GAMMA (25.5-30.5)		-	-			
DELTA (1-4) THETA (4-8) ALPHA (8-12.5) BETA (12.5-25.5) BETA1 (12.5-15.5) BETA2 (1518) BETA3 (18-25.5)	[1-2	ASY CO	HPHA 		Y COH	PHA 14	ASY COH PHA 2-3 /	ASY COH	PHA 2-	4 ASY		A 3.4	ASY COH
	Select	All	ZScores		Gre	ater Tha	n • 1.0		 s		+-3		



FIGURE 5. Live Z-score changes plotted across 40 min, one session with a naïve trainee.

sessions, by watching which scores move in various directions.

Figure 6 shows the progress of the Multivariate Proportion value during the session. This is an important aspect, as it shows that the trainee has "acquired the task," in operant conditioning terms, and is able to improve their performance. This improvement proves that learning has occurred, and it is an important aspect of LZT training. This approach allows the brain to develop its own strategy, as the rewards are achieved when a criterion is reached, and the brain is able to compute its own "cost function" to optimize rewards. In some of the studies shown here, certain Z-scores remained outside the normal range during training, reflecting the fact that the brain was adopting specific mechanisms to cope with the reward strategy. This amounts to the brain

FIGURE 6. Progress of multivariate proportion (% of Z-scores meeting criteria) plotted across 40 min, one session with a naïve trainee.



discovering dynamics that allow it to reduce the overall index of abnormality while allowing certain features to remain outside normal, and to function as coping or compensatory mechanisms. This is significant, as it avoids the pitfall of "training to an outlier" that may result when all Z-scores are required to meet the training targets.

RESULTS

Practitioners who adopted the LZT approach and had been instructed on its use were invited to submit case studies that illustrated their experience with the technique. Not all cases were submitted, so this article presents selected successful cases that were submitted. The details for each case, as well as clinical, behavioral, psychometric, and QEEG changes, when available, are summarized in Table 2.

Application of Training Protocol

All of the reported cases used a form of what we refer to as "multivariate composite targeting," in which a number of Z-score targets are continually assessed in a particular way, and used to produce the feedback. All of the reported cases used this capability in the form of the "Percent ZOK" algorithm in LZT. In all cases, there were individual differences in the precise strategy and control methodology used. The protocol provides sufficient freedom for the clinician to determine the nature and extent of information presented to the brain, relative to the current state of the multiple Z-scores. This is to be distinguished to more simple "training to the mean" that may be assumed to be used, if one is not knowledgeable of the relevant details.

Various practitioners differ in their precise use of the controls and options within the LZT paradigm. Although all practitioners make use of target size and percentage of Z-scores as control variables, the exact process used varies. Some practitioners emphasize adjusting target size and allowing the brain to learn the various levels of task difficulty, whereas others focus on the percentage of Z-scores as the key variable. However, as the values are interrelated, there is always a dynamic interplay in changing one, the other, or both. The LZT approach allows the practitioner to emphasize different aspects of the Z-score training, based on the observed clinical and electrophysiological changes.

Summary of Cases

Details for all cases are presented in the second table. This section summarizes specific results including Z-score statistics, QEEG maps, and relevant psychometric results, which illustrate the clinical and electrophysiological changes which were observed.

Three cases ("S," "C," and "Z") were presented by one clinician (JG), who uniformly

FIGURE 7. Percentage of amplitude Z-scores outside ± 1.0 SDs for 3 participants "S," "Z," and "C," 10 sessions each.







used 10 sessions of four-channel LZT training on each of three patients. Figure 7 summarizes the percentage of amplitude Z-scores (absolute power, relative power, power ratios) that were outside the nominal range of ± 1.0 SDs. This illustrates the repeatable reduction in deviant Z-scores while revealing some difference between participants. It is interesting that the participants who presented with the most deviant Z-scores on the outset showed the least number of deviant scores after training.

Figure 8 shows the percentage of connectivity Z-scores (coherence, phase, asymmetry) outside the range ± 1.0 SDs for the same three participants. Although uniform reduction is seen in two participants, an actual increase is seen in one. It is shown later that this reflects a compensatory mechanism, in which the brain evidently allows some scores to become deviant, to achieve greater overall normalization. Note that this phenomenon likely depends on the ability of the training software to allow some scores

FIGURE 9. Number of amplitude Z-scores outside target range as a function of target size, participant "S." *Note.* The number of Z-scores outside the narrow range 1.0 actually rises, whereas the overall distribution pulls strongly within the 1.5 and 2.0 ranges.



FIGURE 10. Number of connectivity Z-scores outside target range as a function of target size, participant "S." *Note.* Although many scores begin outside the 2.0 and 2.5 *SD* ranges, all Z-scores fall within the range 1.5 *SD* after 10 sessions.



FIGURE 11. Pre- and posttreatment QEEG maps for participant DQ.



30

to remain outside normal bounds while continuing to reward for training.

Figure 9 shows the number of amplitude Z-scores outside specified target ranges, for one participant. Note that, at the outset, a great many scores are outside even the larger targets of 2.5, 3.0, and larger targets. After training, the number of scores outside of the larger ranges has reduced dramatically. At the same time, the number of scores outside the range ± 1.0 has increased, as a result of "packing" of the scores into the narrower ranges. This reflects the ability of the brain to achieve overall normalization while having room to move at the lower limits of the training targets.

Figure 10 shows the number of connectivity (coherence, phase, asymmetry) Z-scores outside of specified target sizes. The reduction of scores outside the range ± 1.5 is essentially complete, as no Z-scores are found outside this range after the training. This demonstrates the brain's ability to process dozens of Z-scores in a single training paradigm, and effectively normalize all of them, while performing a simple training.

Figure 11 shows pre- and post-QEEG maps for participant "DQ" presented by clinician JT. Improvements are visible in both the power and the coherence maps, after 39 sessions.

FIGURE 12. Pre- and posttreatment maps (26 sessions) for participant TB. *Note.* Top pair: Absolute power maps, Bottom pair: Coherence maps.





FIGURE 13. Pre- (left) and posttreatment (right) QEEG maps after 20 sessions, patient Norb.

Figure 12 shows pre- and post-QEEG maps from participant "TB" (clinician JT). The normalization of power as well as coherence maps is visibly evident after 26 sessions. It is interesting to note examples of "overshoot" in which one value moves out of normal range, whereas others normalize. For example, a slight excess of right delta and theta appears, whereas the excess of high beta and alpha normalizes. Also, there is a slight alpha hypocoherence that appears, along with the significant normalization of other coherences.

Figure 13 shows pre- and post-QEEG maps, and Figure 14 shows post-QEEG

maps after 20 sessions, for participant "Norb" (clinician F8) using a Laplacian derivation. All evident power and asymmetry abnormalities are seen to effectively normalize. Although the pre maps show significant delta and theta excess, and a deficit of high frequencies, the post maps are essentially normal, with only a very slight beta excess on the left, which may be muscle related.

Figure 14 shows IVA + Plus Standard Scales Analysis for "Norb." The Full Scale Response Control Quotient rises from 94 to 101, whereas the Full Scale Attention Quotient rises from 62 to 95.



FIGURE 14. Pre- and posttreatment IVA + Plus, patient Norb, 20 sessions.



FIGURE 15. Pre- (left) and posttreatment (right) QEEG maps, 25 sessions, patient 44YOM.

Figure 15 shows pre- and post-QEEG maps for participant "44YOM" (clinician NW), after 25 sessions. Visible trends include a reduction in coherence and phase abnormalities, and some improvement in relative power.

Figure 16 shows IVA + Plus results from participant "44YOM." Full-Scale Response Control Quotient rises from 29 to 94, whereas Full Scale Attention Quotient rises from 0 to 96.

Figure 17 shows the progression of participant "12YOM," clinician PR, with eyes open, after 20 and after 40 sessions. This progression shows definite compensatory mechanisms at work, as the post-20 session maps show interesting adaptations to the training. In absolute power, areas that were deficient in delta are normalized in 20 sessions, whereas surrounding areas exhibit a delta excess. This suggests a global reregulation mechanism wherein surrounding areas adjust their function, as a compensation for the normalization of other areas. Similarly, whereas hypocoherence across frequency

bands is seen to remediate, there is a significant hypercoherence in high beta that emerges, again likely as a compensating mechanism. The final condition characterized by overall normalization in the presence of hypercoherent beta, suggests a mechanism involving cortico-cortical binding, as a strategy toward producing the requisite overall normalization.

Figure 18 shows the progression of eyes-closed QEEG maps for the same client as Figure 17. A rather different pattern of normalization is evident, suggesting that the brain adopts a different strategy to keeping itself normalized, depending on whether the eyes are open or closed. In this case, the final QEEG shows essential normalization, along with a phase deficit (phase-locking) in alpha across the head. This suggests a strongerthan-normal thalamocortical binding, in which thalamic activity is controlling cortical rhythms with excessively tight timing. In other words, rather than having several somewhat independent alpha generating processes (e.g., occipital, frontal, temporal), the brain is dominated by a single alpha pacemaker.



FIGURE 16. Pre- (left) and posttreatment (right) IVA Standard Scales Analysis, 25 sessions, subject 44YOM.

Figure 19 summarizes the progress of in Z-scores may indicate compensating participant "12YOM." In our analysis and mechanisms in which areas surrounding the discussions with clinicians, observed changes original delta excess change their state, as a

FIGURE 17. Overview of client 12YOM progress in Eyes Open condition, after 20 and 40 sessions.





FIGURE 18. Overview of client 12YOM progress in Eyes Closed condition, after 20 and 40 sessions.

way of containing the abnormal areas. When there is a worsening of an EEG deviation, we hypothesize that it may represent a compensatory mechanism. For example, when there is an area with a deficit of delta activity, surrounding areas may exhibit excess delta during the normalization process, even as the previously abnormal areas now become normal. Temporarily, these changes look like a cap or a wrapper around the areas which in fact are normalized in the 20-session maps. So the brain has adopted a strategy to lower its mean scores, by allowing the surrounding areas to provide a containing medium for the abnormal activity, as the brain globally produces higher delta amplitudes. The global nature of the delta is indicated by the newly emerged hypercoherence after 20 sessions.

After 40 sessions, when most all amplitude and connectivity measures are normalized, we are still left with very specific EEG abnormalities that again seem to be coping or compensating mechanisms. As an example, this client had extreme phase synchrony in alpha with eyes closed and extreme beta coherence

FIGURE 19. Summary of changes EC and EO.



with eyes open. We believe that the brain may be setting up its own binding mechanisms, again, to maximize the global normality. In this case, where the remained phase synchrony and coherence abnormalities, it was nonetheless noted that the client experienced significant clinical benefits uniformly from treatment. To quote the clinician,

> Changes in delta absolute power and coherence over the course of the training indicate some interesting possibilities for future research. There may be a mid-training phase that prioritizes allocation of cortical resources for the purpose of reorganizing neural connectivity. Hypercoherence could be a manifestation of increased thalamocortical activity which necessitates a temporary diversion of energetic resources to improve the efficiency of the interactive pathways between the thalamus and the cortex. (Rutter, 2009)

Figure 20 shows pre- and post-QEEG maps from participant SonjaK taken before and after her 16th session, after having had 15 previous weekly sessions (clinician DK). These maps thus document single-session results. The Beta and High Beta power excesses are trained into the normal range. An extreme amount of hypercoherence in delta and theta is reduced during the single session. Specific hypo-phase (phase-locking) in alpha is also slightly reduced.

Figure 21 shows pre- and post-QEEG maps from participant "NW" (clinician JT) after 38 sessions. Remediation of power abnormalities and coherence deficits is visibly evident. An insignificant amount of hypercoherent posterior alpha remains.

Figure 22 shows pre- and post-QEEG maps from participant TA after 20 sessions (clinician CRS). The remediation of coherence in beta and high beta is striking. There is also a visible reduction in theta absolute power, which was targeted using conventional thresholded feedback in addition to the LZT feedback, in a combined protocol.



FIGURE 20. Pre- and posttreatment maps before and after one session (Session 16) for SonjaK.

FIGURE 21. Pre- and posttreatment QEEG maps for participant NW, 38 sessions. *Note.* Top pair: Absolute Power maps, Bottom pair: Coherence Maps.



Z-Score FFT Pre and Post Comparisons of Absolute Power and Coherence

Commonalities in Clinical Results

The overall numbers of studies with outcome reporting, and the numbers showing improvement, are summarized in Table 1. Detailed specific observations are summarized in Table 2.

Of the 19 cases reporting presenting symptoms, they were 7 Cognitive and Affective Problems, 5 ADD/ADHD, 3 Autistic Disorder or ASD, 2 Behavioral Problems, 1 Cerebral Palsy, and 1 Traumatic Head Injury.

All respondents reporting clinical outcome identified clinical improvement during the treatment sessions, as noted in Table 2.

QEEG Results

When QEEG data are available, all respondents show visible improvement in

QEEG maps relative to the normative database used for analysis. In most cases, the NeuroGuide ANI ("Lifespan") database was included in the analysis. In some cases, the QEEG normalization is dramatic, resulting in essentially normal QEEG maps after the training. In other cases, we see either remaining abnormalities or newly emergent deviations that may reflect compensating mechanisms. The availability of pre- and post-QEEG maps is found to be of considerable value in monitoring and assessing the progress of LZT training. Whereas LZT training can be viewed as an automatic guidance mechanism for feedback, EEG and QEEG analyses provide important information guiding the placement of sensors, choice of protocols, and management of anticipated and observed clinical and behavioral changes. In some of the cases, indices such as the NeuroGuide Traumatic Brain



FIGURE 22. Pre- (left) and post- (right) QEEG maps, 20 sessions, participant TA.

Index or Predicted IQ are reported, and show improvements.

Abreactions Negative Side Effects

In this clinical series, no abreactions to the LZT training were noted. In earlier clinical work, one initial mechanism identified for abreaction occurred when the target window required for Z-scores was excessively wide (e.g., $\pm .3.0$ SDs). In one case (not reported

here), an individual presenting with excessive EEG absolute power was trained with a wide window. The result included an "overshoot," in which excessively high power Z-scores were found to become excessively low, in effect finding another limit at which to function. It became necessary, through the software, to provide separate upper and lower limit values, so that the Z-scores could be trained with an upper limit of 3.0 standard deviations but a lower limit of -1.0 standard deviations. Training with this modification eliminated

TABLE 1. Overall studies with outcome reporting and the numbers showing improvement.

	No. Reported	Visible Improvement
Total cases reported	24	
Reporting presenting symptoms	22	22
Reporting clinical/behavioral	23	23
outcome		
Pre- and posttreatment LZT data	10	10
Pre- and posttreatment	12	12
QEEG data		
Pre- and posttreatment IVA data	5	4

Note. LZT = live Z-score training; IVA = Integrated Visual and Auditory Performance Test.

TABLE 2. Summarized case details, including clinical, behavioral, psychometric, and QEEG changes.

G2 17.0 mets serveit, audist. wildes greed, interim spatial audist. wildes greed, interim spatial interim spati interim spatial interim spatial interim spatial interi	tudy # CLIN ID	Presenting	Previous Treatment	Preassessment	# LZT Sessions and Sites	Result	EEG Change
Total in instructions and more services in the instructions and costs from the instructions and the instruction and the instructions	S Z	17 YO male severely		LZT: high amplitude	10 sessions F7 F8 T5 T6	more clarity in speech and	Reduction in aberrant
1 1 1 2		autistic w/little speech,		generally, coherence		longer sentences, talks more	Z-Scores: 1st session: 36
C 7 VO female control Commer vote inhibit site isserty progress LZT: high amplitude second progress <thlzt: amplitude<br="" high="">second progres LZ</thlzt:>		frequent tantrums, short		deficits		appropriately, less and less	Z-scores > 1.0 SD during
GC 1 VO made caretal Ommer wing to caretal mode of caretal mode o		attention span, does not				tantrums and much calmer,	session. 10th session: 13
C 7 VO immale careford Ommer wide indict ate pass states wo to presendly progress LTT. high amplitude stately progress 10 sessions F7 FB T5 T6 protect in works The mean code protect in mean code protect in works The mean code protect in mean code protect in works The mean code protect in mean code protect in works The mean code protocod protect in works The me		listen to instructions				more willing to carry out	Z-scores > 1.0 SD.
IC TVO finale control a Other wide inhibit at law of sealers work of sealers with another and sealers. Difference with sealers. Current with with were work. Current with sealers. Current with were were with work. Current with were were with were were were work. Current were were were were were were were wer						instructions and tries all	Reduction in both
IC 7 VO fermale createral pass; service interactions provide, in						dishes that the maid cooks	amplitude and
0.0 1/0 <td></td> <td>T VO famala alama</td> <td>Otherson solids include the</td> <td>1 77. bish smalltrad</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>connectivity Z-Scores</td>		T VO famala alama	Otherson solids include the	1 77. bish smalltrad			connectivity Z-Scores
TDD 9YO male, indexion search for dentry. Search or search for dentry. Search or search Search Searc	200	/ YO TEMALE CEREDIAL	Utimer wide innibit site	LZT: nign amplitude	10 Sessions F/ F8 15 16	more responsive to the	
IC: Note: a start of the motor start of a start of earny a start of a start of a start of a start a start of a star a start of a start a start of a start<		three more two to	specific 6 mo. Slow but	generally, coherence		environment, speaking more	Z-Scores: 1st session: 35
JTB 11 YO mate, retrained 25 Seasons of the fragments 25 seasons of the prevention of the fragment 25 seasons of the prevent 25 seas		unree words, minited	steady progress	delicits		with longer sentences,	Z-scores > 1.0 SU auring
JG Shaan sons (atterbrins) and (atterbrins) command (atterbrins) (atterbrins) command (atterbrins) (atterbri		gross and tine motor				reciprocate two-way	Zession. Luth Session: Z/
Generation Constrained Constrai		skills (call t walk yet , short attention snan				communication, interacts more with other children in	Z-scores ∕ 1.0 30. Beduction primarily in
G Shan E Y O fende with ocasional memory remembering new remembering new remore staterent remembering new rememb		dreamy				school, demonstrates more	amplitude Z-Scores
IG Shaan ZC Normale, whith nemory intervention L27: high amplitude 10 sessions F7 F8 15 th states of the Chinese Reduction in aberrant states of the Chinese Reduction in aberrant states of the Chinese Reduction in aberrant states of the Chinese 2-Sones: 10 SD during states of the Chinese In Problem states, of the the remainbering new remainbering new remainbition in the remain of the foreese remaining of the foreese remainber of the foreese remore of the foreese remaining of the						strength in her movements	
In the contrant memory lapses, difficulty in remembering new intermediation and set of the contrant remembering new intermembering new intermember invibuted in the koreant is each of the kor	JG Shaan	52 YO female with		LZT: high amplitude	10 sessions F7 F8 T5 T6	able to remember most of the	Reduction in aberrant
Interviending new remembering new remembering new remembering new remembering new remembering new remembering new remembering and remembering new remembering new remembering remembering new remembering remembering new remembering remembering new remembering rem		occasional memory		generally, coherence		steps of the Chinese	Z-Scores: 1st session: 60
If TD Portenentering new remembering new remembering new resconses 10 tit sessions: 10 tit session: 10 tit tit tit tit session: 10 tit session: 10 tit		lapses, difficulty in		deficits		classical dancing and is able	Z-scores > 1.0 SD during
I aning I aning I animg of the Korean Z-scores 10.5.0. JT DO 9 YO male, behavoral plead on 1/2 day QEEG map: significant 23 sessions F3 F4 P3 P4, are a heducion in both takes offee she deer not inclents of brow here she deer not inclents of the here and attendance, inclining in proprised in any proved in any proved share and attendance inclining in proprised in the mphasis on agression, inability to routio termer, suttlening a number of a significant termines in the station in the take assisting in provided attendance inclusted in the mphasis on agression, inability to comtrol termer, suttlening 25 sestiment at assisting and and not an inpoved share and and and and an inpoved significant termines in the stating in th		remembering new				to absorb more of hear	session. 10th session: 31
JT DQ 9 YO male, behavioral problems et school and problems et school and problems et school and problems of thread any more attendance. individual attendance. Readedicit in the anticit attendance. individual attendance. individual attendance. Readedicit in the attendance attendance. individual attendance. Readedicit in the attendance attendance attendance. individual attendance. individual attendance. Readedicit in the attendance attendance attendance. individual attendance attendance attendance Readedicit in the attendance attendance attendance		learning				learning of the Korean	Z-scores > 1.0 SD.
JT DO 9 YO male, behavioral problems at school and internet at school and behavioral plan, proprioceptive training CEEG map: significant is ack of a pha activity, is provided in the proveed significant is pressions; much less significant excess of appression, inciding to proprioceptive training takes of a pha activity, is provided and provided and proprioceptive training CEEG map: significant is proprioceptive added with emphasis on inprovement 14 of 28 takes of a pha activity, is provided and proprioceptive training Paced on 1/2 day proprioceptive training and activity, is provided and proprioceptive training Paced on 1/2 day proprioceptive training and activity, is provided and proprioceptive training and activity, formally, is provided and proprioceptive training and activity, formally, is provided and proprioceptive training and activity, formally, is propriated activity in the phating is propriated activity in the phating is propriated activity in the phating in provided and proprioceptive training and activity, formally, and propriore activity is photia training at accurd ession 22, had stopped is propriated activity and in propriated activity and in propriet activity activity and in propriet activity activity activity and in propriet activity activity activity activity and in propriet activity activity activity activity activity focused in unorganized work area. and activity activity activity focused in propriet activity focused in activity focused in unorganized work area. and activity activity activity foc						language. Now when she	Reduction in both
JT D0 9 YO male, behavioral problems at school and problems at school and problems at school and problems at school and behavioral plan, vestibular and vestibular and vestibular and proprioceptive training vestibular and vestibular and vestibula						takes coffee she does not	amplitude and
JT DQ 9 YO male, behavioral plead on 1/2 day QEEG map: significant 39 sessions F3 F4 P3 P4, speech improved significantly back behavioral sparsessment BACS behavioral sessessment problems, incidents of werbal and physical vestbal and physical significant excess of part 71 4 F2 Z S2 (4, F3 F4 Z) stuttering at around session much less system results show inprovement 14 of 28 vestbular and behavioral plan, inprovement 14 of 28 stuttering at around session improved 2 or inprovement, in ability to vestbular and micel hyper- and hypo-coherence 71, less argumentative and socres improved 2 or stuttering at around session improved energy and affort, improved at and affort, improved at and affort, improved at and affort, improved and affort, imp						have a headache anymore	connectivity
JTB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school and provided plan, significant variable in division inability to proprioceptive training agression, inability to proprioceptive training provided with emphasis on verbular and biysical verbular and biysical agression, inability to proprioceptive training provided with emphasis on verbular and biysical agression, inability to proprioceptive training propried and provided with emphasis on verbular and biysical agression, inability to proprioceptive training propried and provided with emphasis on verbular and biysical agression, inability to proprioceptive training provided with emphasis on the estimated agression, inability to control temper, and deviations attended with emphasis on the estimated and provided emperaturums added with emphasis on the estimated applet and inposed emperators at ession 2.7. If the estimated emplet and the estimated emplet estinated emplet estinated emplet estimated em	JT DQ	9 YO male, behavioral	placed on 1/2 day	QEEG map: significant	39 sessions F3 F4 P3 P4,	speech improved significantly	BASC behavior assessment
home, incidents of verbal and physical agression, inability to proprioceptive training attreft stutteringDehavioral plan, vestbular and agression, inability to proprioceptive training mixed hyper- and hypo-coherenceP 2 T3 T4 Fz Cz F3 F4 p 8 P4 alph a enhance added with emphasion r17, less argumentative and added with emphasion stutteringImprovement 14 of 28 stuttering stuttering stutteringVerbal and physical aggression, inability to control temper, stutteringbehavioral under added with emphasion added with emphasion radded with emphasion stutteringP 2 T3 T4 Fz Cz F3 F4 stuttering added with emphasion stutteringproprioceptive training added with emphasion proprioceptive training trained in transholdspropriod stoped temper tantum scores improved a show ranges significantly in high beta friendijer at session 27.propriod stores argumentative and scores improved a show rangesJT TB11 YO male, retusal to complete school work, assily distracted,IT YO male, retusal to added and sciencialP 26 seend happier and friendijer at session 27.improved scores improved a return to fullJT TB11 YO male, retusal to complete school work, assily distracted,NDHD, Combined Type.26 sessions T3 T3 P5 P2 P4 add adtions visibly clearedimproved individualized behavior assion science and deviations visibly cleared advisibly beta activity focused in unoganized work area.IT YO male, return to full advisibly cleared advisibly cleared advisibly cleared advisibly cleared advisibly cleared advisibly cleared advisibly cleared advisibly cleared advisibly cleared advisibly cleared a		problems at school and	attendance, individual	lack of alpha activity,	Fz Pz C3 C4, F3 F4 Cz	after 15 sessions, much less	system results show
verbal and physical aggression, inability to aggression, inability to proprioceptive training vestbular and mixed hyper- and hypo-coherence delta activity frontally, mixed hyper- and hypo-coherence P3 P4 alpha enhance 17, less argumentative and stopped temper tambros scores improved 2 or more standard deviations, added with emphasis on stopped temper tambros added with emphasis on stuttering proprioceptive training mixed hyper- and hypo-coherence added with emphasis on tating medications at session area post-2 Scores added with emphasis on stuttering training medications at session training medications at session scores improved 2 or stopper and transitions at session scores improved 2 or stopper and transitions at session JT TB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school work, easily distracted, unoganized work area, Na shows diagnosis of easily distracted, activity focused in unoganized work area, DEEG map: significant then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz 26 sessions 13 P3 Fp2 P4 many deviations visiby cleared Indvidualized behavior undway sessions as early mother and checklists		home, incidents of	behavioral plan,	significant excess of	Pz T3 T4 Fz Cz F3 F4	stuttering at around session	improvement 14 of 28
aggression, inability to control temper, stuttering proposition inability to control temper, stuttering proposition inability to hypo-coherence more standard deviations, parietal via thresholds more standard deviations, inter session 22, had stopped after session 27, had stopped inter at session 27. more standard deviations, price and post-25cores JT TB 11 YO male, refusal to complet school work, activity distracted, nunorganized work area, 11 YO male, refusal to complet school work, activity focused in session and in office, no longer stuttered after 39 sessions T3 P3 Fp2 P4 more standard deviations, price additions in miproved energy and affect, improved energy and individualized behavion activity focused in partetal regons, improved energy and affect and individualized behavion individualized behavion activity focused in partetal regons, as session 2. After 7th partetal regons, improved and averaged as		verbal and physical	vestibular and	delta activity frontally,	P3 P4 alpha enhance	17, less argumentative and	scores improved 2 or
Control temper, stuttering import tersession 22, had stopped attering medications at session show changes per- and post-Z-Scores stuttering attering medications at session stuttering show changes show changes stuttering hypo-coherence parietal via thresholds attersession 22, had stopped stuttering hypo-coherence parietal via thresholds attersession show changes show changes stuttering hypo-coherence parietal via thresholds attersession stratendier at session stratendier at session session and at frect, nore cognitive flexibility, able pre- and post-Z-Scores JT TB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school work, Athon shows diagnosis of activity focused in deviations wishly cleared improved energy and atfect, no handle transitions in more cognitive flexibility, able pre- and post-Z-Scores JT TB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school work, ADHD, Combined Type. sessions T3 P3 Fp2 P4 more cognitive flexibility, able deviations visibly cleared in activity focused in unorganized work area, ADHD, Combined Type. accivity focused in deviations visibly cleared in deviations visibly cleared in deviations wisibly cleared in then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz as session sa early questionmaine provided to undet at and checklists		aggression, inability to	proprioceptive training	mixed hyper- and	added with emphasis on	stopped temper tantrums	more standard deviations,
attrefring stuttering stuttering stuttering stow changes attrefring stuttering stow changes 26, seemed happer and stow changes attrefring stow changes 26, seemed happer and stow changes stow changes attrefring improved energy and affect, in office, no JT TB 11 YO male, refusal to IVA shows diagnosis of QEEG map: significant 26 sessions T3 P3 Fp2 P4 many deviations visiby cleared individualized behavior acsing distracted, acsing beta eccrosed in acsing tocursed in acsing tocursed in acsing tocursed in proved and checkins unorganized work area. acsing tocursed in acsing tocursed in acsing tocursed in proved and checkins individualized behavior unorganized work area. parietal regions, parietal regions, acsing tocursed in parietal regions, acsing tocursed and checkins activity focused in parietal regions, parietal regions, acsing tocursed and accedi		control temper,		hypo-coherence	parietal via thresholds	after session 22, had stopped	pre- and post-Z-Scores
JT TB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school work, easily distracted, unorganized work area; Image: seasion 27. imporved energy and affect, improved energy and affect, morved energy and morved energy and mo		stuttering				taking medications at session	show changes
JTB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school work, and gatracted, unorganized work area. Image session 27. frontally, and in threndlier at session 27. frontally, and in threndlier at session 27. JTB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school work, and distracted, unorganized work area. Image school work, assion school work, assion school work, assion school work area. Image school work, assion school work, assion school work, assion school work area. Image school work, assion school work, assion school work, assion school work, assion school work, assion school work area. Image school work area.						26, seemed happier and	significantly in high beta
JTB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school work, and distracted, unorganized work area. Image cognitive flexibility, able more cognitive flexibility, able to handle transitions in sessions and in office, no longer stuttened after 39 sessions. Plan to return to full day attendance then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz reductions in more cognitive flexibility, able hypercoherences in office, no longer stuttened after 39 sessions. Plan to return to full day attendance then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz reductions in more cognitive flexibility, able in office, no longer stuttened after 39 sessions. Plan to return to full day attendance then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz reductions in more cognitive flexibility, able individualized behavior as session 2. After 7th more and veraged as session. "has been very						friendlier at session 27.	frontally, and in
JT TB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school work, aunorganized work area, Image in the session and in office, no longer stuttered after 39 sessions TB P5 P2 P4 many deviations visibly cleared then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz Image in the second sessions TB P2 P2 P4 many deviations visibly cleared then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz Image in the second sessions TB P2 P2 P4 many deviations visibly cleared then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz Image in the second sessions TB P2 P2 P4 many deviations visibly cleared then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz Image in the second sessions TB P2 P2 P4 many deviations visibly cleared the second many session sa early then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz Image in the second session sa early the second many session sa early the second many second and averaged sa						improved energy and affect,	reductions in
JT TB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school work, assions with diagnosis of complete school work, assions the following diagnosis of complete school work, assions the following diagnosis of complete school work area, unorganized work area, Imany deviations (in the following diagnosis) (in the following diagnosis) JT TB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school work, assions the following diagnosis of complete school work, assions the following diagnosis Imany deviations visibly cleared then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz in dividualized behavior then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz Imany deviations visibly cleared assion tracted, unorganized work area, Imany deviations visibly cleared then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz in dividualized behavior then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz in dividualized behavior then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz						more cognitive flexibility, able	hypercoherences
UT TB 11 YO male, refusal to IVA shows diagnosis of CEG map: significant complete school work, ADHD, Combined Type. 26 sessions T3 P3 Fp2 P4 many deviations visibly cleared in dividualized behavior team of then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz up midway sessions as early questionnaire provided to easily distracted, unorganized work area, unorganized work area, unorganized work area team of the partietal regions, then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz up midway sessions as early questionnaire provided to activity focused in partietal regions, then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz up midway sessions as early questionnaire provided to activity focused in partietal regions, then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz up midway sessions as early activities and checklists activity focused in the formation for the session. The session formation for the section formation for the section formation formation for the session formation for the section formation formation formation formation for the section formation formation for the section formation for						to handle transitions in	
JT TB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school work, IVA shows diagnosis of ADHD, Combined Type. QEEG map: significant excess of high beta 26 sessions T3 P3 Fp2 P4 then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz Individualized behavior up midway sessions as early assistors as early unorganized work area.						session and in office, no	
JT TB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school work, activity for a session of the school work, activity for a session of the school work, activity for a session of the school work area, unorganized work area, unorganized work area, QEEG map: significant school work the school work of the school work, activity for a session ST P3 Fp2 Fz Cz session ST P3 Fp2 P4 many deviations visibly cleared in the school work of the school work of the school work area, activity for a session ST P3 Fp2 Fz Cz individualized behavior area of the school work area, activity for a session ST P3 Fp2 Fz Cz individualized behavior area of the school work area of the school work of the school work area of the school work area of the school work of the school work area of the school work of the school work area of the school work of the school work area of the school w						longer stuttered after 39	
JT TB 11 YO male, refusal to complete school work, adiagnosis of variations vision of the school work, administrated, administrated, unorganized work area, unorganized work area. QEEG map: significant significant the school work, administrated behavior 26 sessions 13 P3 Fp2 P4 many deviations visibly cleared individualized behavior activity focused in then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz Individualized behavior area individualized behavior activity focused in then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz Individualized sessions as early area individualized behavior activity focused in then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz Individualized sessions as early area individualized behavior as session 2. After 7th mother and checklists						sessions. plan to return to full	
If TO male, refusal to TVO male, refusal to TVO and angrosts of ULEIG map; significant to complete school work, ADHD, Combined Type. excess of high beta then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz up midway sessions as early questionnaire provided to activity focused in activity focused in then Fp1 Fp2 Fz Cz up midway sessions as early questionnaire provided to activity focused in an early activity focused in the morganized work area, activity focused in parietal regions, unorganized work area, accord and averaged as			-			day attendance	
comprete sorriou work, when the sorriou comprete sorriou work, when the sorriou comprete sorriou work as early questionnaice provided to easily distracted, activity focused in activity focused in a session 2. After 7th monther and checklists unorganized work area, activity activity focused in a session 2. After 7th monther and checklists unorganized work area, activity activity focused in a session 2. After 7th monther and checklists unorganized work area, activity focused in a session 2. After 7th monther and checklists a session 2. After 7th monther and checklists activity focused in a session 2. After 7th monther and checklists area.	1 18	11 YO male, retusal to	IVA Shows diagnosis of	UEEG map: significant	26 Sessions 13 P3 Fp2 P4	many deviations visibly cleared	Individualized behavior
unorganized work area, parietal regions, and averaged as a session, "has been very scored and averaged as		easily distracted		activity focused in	101-01-01	ap maway seesion as can'y as session 2 After 7th	mother and checklists
		unorganized work area,		parietal regions,		session, "has been very	scored and averaged as
		D	-				

39

TABLE 2 (continued)

∉ CLIN ID	Presenting	Previous Treatment	Preassessment	# LZT Sessions and Sites	Result	EEG Change
	flat affect, "head twitching", avoidance of		significant hypercoherence in all		cooperative in school this week", "trying new things at	pre- and post-treatment scores. IVA performance
	pnysical activities, physical awkwardness/		bands, especially beta and high beta		tics occasionally, after	tests improvea, 3 of 6 scores improved more
	clumsiness, and social		5		session 13, "finally rode a	than 2 standard
	immaturity				bike!"	deviations
	21 YO female, currently on	diagnosed with ADHD,	QEEG map: excess delta		from mother: I do see	QEEG map shows
	academic leave from collede "required to det	placed on stimulant. Not currently taking	and theta lett centrotemporal.		be following through better	signincant normalization, amplitudes now normal.
	mental health treatment	prescribed medication	anorexia, binge purging		and completing tasks. Has	TBI discriminant reduced
	to go back to school"	(adderall). Currently	type, remission. ADD by		discussed with me the	from porobability of 97.5%
	difficulty making friends,	taking celexa 20 mg.	report. MDE: moderate,		recommendations about past	to 70.0%. IVA plus scores
	socializing, moderate sx	Previously took prozak	partial remission, m I BI		rigity. Agree that she needs	pre- and post show
	or depression. Fnarossed in inner	Sumg	by report. Social Phobla		to learn to alter ner plans and still accomplish tasks. She	Improvement in attention subscales
	"fantasy life"				has come a long way and l	
					see a great deal of	
					improvement. organization is	
					better and confidence is up.	
	54 YO CF	Methylphenidate 96 mg	IVA + Plus Q Scores;	20 sessions conventional	IVA + Plus Q Scores show	QEEG shows general trend
	(anesthesiologist),	daily, Lexapro 20 mg	QEEG EC and EO	training, monopolar to	improvement of 10% to 20%	toward normalization,
	diagnosed with ADD,	daily. Discontinued and	showed decreased	reduce fruntal theta, 10	in Global Response Control,	changes of 1.0–2.0 SD
	inattentive type,	washed out prior to	power at 1 Hz, trontal	sessions alpha	Global Attention, and	noted over all training
	Generalized Anxiety	QEEG.	low power F3 and F4.	asymmetry training. 20	Auditory Response Control	sites. Low Power at
	Disorder, Recurrent		low power 9-10 Hz in all	LZ I sessions EU FZ/	and Attention Subscales.	9-10 Hz completely
	Major Depression.		leads. Increased power	T3/T4/Pz using DVD	Hyperactivity index increased	normalized.
	Complaints related to		over 14, 16 from	player	from 95 to 109. Improvement	
	inattention, fatigue,		13-15 Hz and 24-30 Hz,		in overall attention,	
	worry, and "feeling blue"		maximal deviation at		occupational functioning,	
			28 Hz.		increased productivity, better	
					organization, greater job	
					satistaction. decrease in	
					Beck Depression from 25 at	
					Characteristic for the second se	
					Changes persisted at 3 mo.	
					follow-up. Lowered	
					Metnylphenidate to 70 mg, discontinued Levanro	
			IVA + Plus Q Scores		IVA+ Plus Q Scores show	
					improvement of 10% to 30%	
					in Global Response Control,	
					and Auditory Response	
					Control and Visual Prudence.	

40

9 FS pase1			IVA + Plus Q Scores		Hyperactivity index increased from 69 to 86 IVA + Plus Q Scores show improvement of 10% to 20% in Global Response Control, Global Attention, and Auditory Response Control and Attention Subscales. Hyperactivity index	
10 FS skeg1	56 YO CF, guidance counselor, difficulties with comprehension, early morning waking over several years. Complaints related to hyperactivity, auditory processing, auditory memory.	long-acting stimulant, SSRI, and non-benzodiazeine sleep aid. Discontinued and washed out prior to QEEG.	IVA + Plus Q Scores consistent with processing prudence and auditory comprehension problems. Global abnormalities over F3, F4, T3, T4, C3, C4, P3, P4, O1, O2. Slow waves predominate over T3 and O2 between 1–3 Hz, fast activity over O2 in 15–20 Hz range. Loreta identifies Brodman areas 10, 30 and anterior cingulate abnormalities.	9 LZT sessions eyes open, 30–40 minutes, P3/P4/ 01/O2 using DVD and complex tone reward. +1 SD in delta at F3, F4, C3, C4, T3, T4. Also +1 SD in beta and gamma at T3 and T4. Move to F3/F4/P3/P4 and F3/ F4/T3/T4 to target processing and attention.	20 LZT sessions completed. IVA + Plus Q Scores mostly steady, show improvement of 10% to 20% in Auditory Prudence, Auditory Comprehension. Hyperactivity index minimal change from 109 to 111. Subjective report improvement in auditory processing, auditory memory, overall attention. Noted improvements in occupational functioning. Increased productivity, better organization. Sleep improved most overall, no longer	General trend toward normalization visible in QEEG, most evident in low frequency range (1–3 Hz) in left frontal, left temporal, and occipital leads in mid to high frequencies (16–22 Hz). Decrease in coherence noted between P3 O1 and P4 O2.
11 JB 11YOF	11 YO female, depression and anxiety, irritability, low motication, high forgetfulness & discoganized attention, poor school			n sessions F3/Fz/P3/Pz F3/Fp1/P3/Pz F3/F4/ C3/C4	requiring sleep medication. could only tolerate DVD feedback of stop/go, but wanted to train for 40 minutes. Did not want to stop NF training	able to reduce LZT target size from +/-2.0 SD to +1.5/-1.2 SD
12 JB 10YOM	performance 10 YO male, pervasive developmental delay (6 weeks in neonatal unit), ADHD symptoms, inattention, hyperactivity, can't handle transitions; lack of cooperativeness, problems in school; difficulty with sleep			19 sessions sites based on QEEG, parental report of symptoms & subjective response to training	Improvement consistently noticed in 2 days post training and by the end lasted for the full week with very noticeable improvement in postivie mood and level of cooperativeness & no reports of problems at school for th elast two months of training	parents tracked improvement on scales for 2-4-6 days post training.

(Continued)

Study # CLIN ID	Presenting	Previous Treatment	Preassessment	# LZT Sessions and Sites	Result	EEG Change
13 JB 12YOM	12 YO male, depression &	medication had been	QEEG shows frontal	1 session F3/F4/C3/C3;	single session positive	normalized F3
	ADHD with hair pulling	ineffective & was at risk	slowing at F3	added low alpha	response noted after session	beta1/gamma by first
	on left side at C3;	of being sent to a		coherence reward	has lasted - parents and	normalizing the low
	complete shutdowns at	residential program		sound/visual F3F4 &	teachers rate it at 75%	coherences:
	school with oppositional			C3/C4. then added low	improvement / no need for	
	refusal to do work; not			beta coherence reward	residential.	
	doing any homework			sound/visual for F3/C3		
14 JB 14YOM	14 YO male, wanted to be			5 sessions F3/F4/P3/P4	reports 50% improvement in	
	off medication, wanted			with added reward for	sleep pattern, in homework	
	to train his brain, poor			normalizing beta	completion and in doing	
	school performance, not			coherence F3/F4	chores without parental	
	doing chores, rather				prompting. He reports 75%	
	irritable and				improvement in sports	
	argumentative				performance and 75%	
)				improvement in being less	
					irritable, and prone to anger	
					or oppositional in responses	
15 .IB 17YOM	17 YO male Asperders	6 sessions traditional		23 sessions total 1 ZT for	to parents. complete symptom relief with	
	with severe depression/	neurofeedback (1-6), 10		sessions 7–13	both depression and anxiety	
	Anxiety.	sessions Othmer (14-			low and much improved	
		23)			school performance and social relations	
16 .IB 10YOM2	10 YO male ADHD: IO in	uses AVE and Captains	OFFG: diminished heta	17 sessions C3/C4/P3/		Reduction in aberrant
	the 70s, distractible,	Log at home	activity, localized in left	P4 C3/F3/Fz/C4 C3/		Z-Scores
	doesn't finish tasks, poor	1	parietal & occipital	C4/T5/Fz + C4 SMR		
	memory, impulsive,		areas; more pronounced	Fp1/Fp2/F3/F4 C3/		
	emotional outbursts,		EEG abnormalities	C4/T5/Fz +bipolar		
	easily trustrated, misses		under task	13/Fp1 & 14/P4		
	with reading &					
	comprehension					
17 NW 44YOM	44 YO male, ADHD, hindlar conscional	various psychotropic	QEEG maps: significant	25 sessions F3/C3/Pz/F4	beginning of symptom	QEEG: EO maps show cignificant pormalization
	anxiety symptoms	lack of adeculate	connectivity deviations	C2/P2 E3/E4/C2/P2	to function adominately after	reduction in amplitude
	rarely has manic	symptom resolution from	IVA: 50 + behavioral	<u> </u>	medication titration. After 2	excesses, and in
	episodes, multiple blows	medications	symptoms/functioning		months and 25 sessions	hypercoherences; some
	to the head, recently		issues		client successfully titrated off	hypocoherence remains.
	stopped working				of all medications and new	EC maps show significant
					QEEG taken. Reports being	normalization, some
					able to overall function petter	A text of more consistence.
					modiontion bottor able to	Alter 9 more sessions,
						CHERT FELUTIEU LO WOLK

TABLE 2 (continued)

42

					business. no longer support working diagnosis of ADHD	was directly due to NF training and that he no longer needed to continue
18 PR 12YOM	12 YO male, autistic disorder, delay in development of verbal and non-verbal communication, lack of social or emotional reciprocity, stereotyped and repetitive motor manners, impaired fine motor, Tourettes-like physical spasms, and high-pitched vocalizations, failure to develop peer relationships		QEEG maps: EC: bilateral excess of high beta, alpha deficit centrally, delta excess rt. Frontal, delta deicit centrally, broad frontocentral hypocoherences.	20 sessions F3/F4/C3/ C4	verbalizations changed from primarily prompted and time-delayed to spontaneous and real-time. Spontaneous displays of affection. Decrease in repetitive behaviors and verbalizations. Increased motoric and verbal self-regulation. Increased voluntary interaction with peers in social and school environments, improved sleep patters, and decreased nocturnal enuresis	With NF- Weith NF- compensation mechanisms at low and high frequency atter 20 sessions, "containing" deficit areas with neighboring compensatory amplitude excesses, and connectivity "overshoot"." Further normalization seen after 40 sessions. Evidence of specific thalamic and cortical adaptive mechanisms due to operant conditioning
19 WL Sam	7 YO male rapid learner, easily excited and aggressive with other children. Can be classified as AD/HD		QEEG maps: EO: Delta and theta excess frontally, high beta excess over Pz, global hypercoherence in all bands, particularly delta and high beta	21 sessions F3 F4 P3 P4	visible improvement in behavior, notable change in interaction with NF staff, more positive and cooperative, school reports improved behavior and reduction in aggression	QEEG maps show significant normalization. Phase and related measures remain outside norms
20 DK SonjaK	13 YO female, diagnosed ICD 10 early childhood, F94.0 elective mutism, now F93.2 emotional disorder with anxiety, F83.2 discalcula. Emotional diagnostics: emothional stress, total blocking with "open" tasks. Marked social and emotional tendency to withdraw	successful ergotherapy, waldorf-school 7th grade poor math, no medication. HAWIK 3 markedly below average, assumed due to anxiety disorder to anxiety disorder	QEEG maps: significant excess of delta and theta diffusely, and high beta occipital	15 weekly sessions T3 T4 C3 C4 Fp1Fp20102 F3 F4 T5 T6 C3 T3 T5 P3 Fp1 F4 T4 P4 (address mirror neurons; empathy) high compliance	diminished feelings of being dominated, better ability to stand up to classmates, begins shopping, meeting with friends. Reports increased alertness, awakeness after aach session. Thinks of NF display "dolphins" during school tests, increased reasoning. Improved self-assurance, math, social skills, open-mindedness, verbal communication	QEEG maps show normalization during 1 session (session 16), both amplitude and connectivity. T3-C4 hypercohenece reduced, Theta T3, C4, T4, hypercohenerce fast waves normalized, T5-P3, prefrontal Delta, overall frontal slowing cPT forthcoming
21 JT NW	9 YO female mood swings, impulse control problems, concentration difficulties.	18 mg Concerta plus GABA	QEEG maps: excess amplitudes, hypocoherence theta and alpha posterior temporal	38 sessions 4-channel 26 sessions C3 C4 P3 P4 11 sessions F3 F4 Cz Pz	at session 27 teacher reported significant improvements in self control. At session 33, parents indicated that remaining problems were due	BASC behavior assessment system results show improvement, pre- and post- Z-Scores show changes. 24 z-scores

(Continued)

TABLE 2 (continued)

EEG Change	ow > 1 SD change. EG maps show sential normalization G maps show light duction in theta cores as well as -30 Hz z-scores. -16 SD. Essentially mplete coherence malization and derate theta absolute wer improvements bibly evident	treatment QEEG throming. Continuing ekly sessions, reports ady improvement of smory and cognition	malized.	Othmer (2008). SSRI = serotonin
Result	to family dynamics and old shu behavioral habits - family QE sought therapy es: self-reported clinical CEE improvement in all symptoms rec improvement in all symptoms 23 2-5 26 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	after 7 sessions, significant improvement reported by patient and mother in memory and environmental we awareness. After 20 sessions, reported significant improvement in sleep patiens, able to fall asleep patterns, able to fall asleep faster, and return to sleep if she woke up in middle of night. increased awareness of cognitive deficit and "lost time". INcreased awareness of cognitive deficit and "lost time". INcreased awareness of countive deficit and ablity to plan for educational activities. Started B2, headaches decreased.	No longer anxious. No further All Q anger outbursts. Reading at no grade level. Improved short-term memory. Improved focusing ability.	low-frequency bipolar training per al and Auditory Performance Test
# LZT Sessions and Sites	20 sessions LZT w/amplitude training to lower 3–8 Hz, raise 16–19, and lower 22–30 Hz.	20 sessions F3/C3/T4/ C4 30 minutes once/ week sometimes twice/ week. Counseling, Vitamin B2 added	53 sessions 3x/week, various placements	ence). Othmer refers to the EEG; IVA = Integrated Visu
Preassessment		Glasgow Coma scale 3 on admission to ER, in coma for 6.5 weeks. Baseline QEEG shows excess Beta and High beta, mostly left frontal. Loreta confirms left frontal and temporal involvement at 9–25 Hz	QEEG shows excess slow 1-10 Hz at T5, O2, T3, T6, T4, F8, Fp1, Fp2. Excess 21-30Hz at F3, C3, P3, O1, Pz, P4. Coherence deficit delta 5L, 1 R, theta 3L, 1 R, 5L, 1 R.	oGuide (Applied Neuroscie tries; QEEG = quantitative
Previous Treatment	Several ADD medications previously w/ limited benefits, unacceptable side effects. 10 mg Abilify for 2.5 yr w/ no side effects, some increased concentration	Partial right temporal lobectomy and ventriculostomy. Adderall XR 20 mg and Provigil 200 mg daily, heiped little with hypersomnolence. Paxil 20 mg since age 16. Imipramire 50 mg then 20 mg daily	no previous treatment	lings analyzed using Neur en or on statistical summa
Presenting	14 YO male, preadolescent LD, concentration problems, impulsive behavior	23 YO female traumatic head injury (at age 13) with chronic residual cognitive deficits and sleep disturbance. Short term memory and word retrieval problems, impulsivity, difficulty concentrating	6 YO F, chronic anxiety, difficulty with anger control, reading difficulty; left-handed	s: 19-channel EEG record e text displays on live scre
Study # CLIN ID	22 DS TA	23 HK HAR9	24 JW NK	<i>Note</i> . QEEG Map: LZT = Live Z-Scor

the overshoot phenomenon and allowed the feedback to be more effectively targeted.

Once the use of separate upper and lower Z-score limits became the practice, abreactions or negative side effects have not been reported from the field, where the general clinical population is involved. Results from our early clinical reports and trials thus far suggest that well-targeted EEG normalization does not appear to have significant downside risk, when the pretreatment EEG is initially clearly abnormal. Mild abreactions have been observed by one author (TFC) during training demonstrations on some individuals, in which the prominent EEG deviations appeared to potentially represent coping or compensatory mechanisms, and the Z-score training has the effect of reducing the deviations. Such deviations may be in amplitude or in connectivity, or in both. For example, chronic pain sufferers may present with globally decreased alpha power. We hypothesize that this may reflect a state of tension and abnormal activation of the cortex representing some kind of coping mechanism, and thus, when alpha activity is uptrained, it may result in an increased experience of pain as the coping mechanism is reduced. Whether or not this is an abreaction is a matter of terminology, as restoration of sensory awareness, including pain, might be used as a path toward self-regulation and recovery.

As another example, clients with chronic anxiety may exhibit excess alpha, which may be a coping mechanism, or may simply reflect their individual state of activation, particularly where emotional control and regulatory centers are involved. Again, downtraining the alpha, which is an activation procedure, may result in increased perception of anxiety, even as the EEG normalizes. Another example arises when normal, functional, achieving adults present with what the Z-score software interprets as "excess SMR." This excess is not necessarily abnormal, may simply reflect an aboveaverage ability to sit still and remain motionless, or may reflect the normal onset of drowsiness. This variant is in fact commonly seen in clinical professionals, for whom stillness and attentiveness are traits that are

cultivated and nurtured, and in training workshops, in which drowsiness may appear under normal circumstances. In some individuals in these circumstances, downtraining the SMR has been seen to result in a feeling of irritation and uneasiness, secondary to the activation of the trained areas.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

It has been seen that the LZT training used here is capable of inducing brain changes that are specific and profound, particularly with regard to whole-brain activation and connectivity. Using this technique in conjunction with QEEG and behavioral data, it is possible to demonstrate clinical effects that are well correlated with objective measures, and support the claim that this approach is an important addition to clinical practice.

It has been found that four-channel LZT training is sufficient to resolve global connectivity issues and that it can effectively target abnormalities visible on the LOR-ETA, and to resolve them. This is likely because the brain has limited degrees of freedom, and in order to bring a predominance of parameters into the normal range, other parameters must also normalize. That is, when sufficiently constrained, the brain cannot conspire to "circumvent" the training, and produce untoward effects.

Nonetheless, when using the MVP approach, it is found that the brain is provided with information that is particularly valuable. By ignoring "outliers," the brain can concentrate on fundamental mechanisms, without being distracted by details that may confound the training. If only some fraction of Z-scores are required to fall within a target for rewards, then the trainee's EEG is given a large dynamic range within which to function. By using smaller targets, and allowing some Z-scores to remain outside the defined range, the brain is provided with options, that it appears to be prepared to use to best advantage.

With LZT training, the brain is exploring its dynamic range, and this is key to the effectiveness. It is broadening its functional repertoire, and is finding a trajectory and path toward normalization that is not a straight line through state space. It is a circuitous path, but it is a path that the brain seems to be equipped to navigate. Every person may respond differently, but LZT trainees receive concise information with which to develop and implement a strategy toward self-regulation.

Again, because the technique using MVP allows the extreme deviations to be untouched, you are allowing the brain to create its own strategy toward normalization. It is significant that clients may have clinical benefits uniformly through the treatment. It is also important to vary the target size and the percentage of Z-scores required, so that the brain has full information to explore these boundaries, without requiring full normalization from the start.

We have found that four channels is actually very effective at localizing and training the entire brain, and some of our published results show the whole-head EEG being essentially normalized, as a result of judicious choice of the four channels. Most often, montages such as F3/F4/P3/P4 or F3/F3/C3/C4 are used. There is also a "big box" that can be used, which is F7/ F8/T5/T6. When four channels are well chosen, the brain does not have a lot of room to move around. We do not generally see problems due to the fact that the four channels have missed anything. It is possible to identify certain montages that appear to isolate functional hubs and subsystems. These provide additional focus and meaning for placements for four-channel training. For example, posterior integration issues associated with stress or aging are well addressed by using C3/C4/P3/P4.

We believe that assessing the client's clinical signs and "complaints" is essential to planning and carrying out the LZT training. It is possible to more flexibly address various brain areas quickly when the channels can be quickly changed, as with a MINI-Q, or when using a full 19-channel cap with LZT training. However, the economy and convenience of applying four monopolar leads provides benefits of simplicity. It is fair to say that four-channel

LZT training is being proven and that it is a robust and effective method.

REFERENCES

- Collura, T. F. (2008a, April). Whole-head normalization using live Z-scores for connectivity training (Part 1). *NeuroConnections*, 12–18.
- Collura, T. F. (2008b, July). Whole-head normalization using live Z-scores for connectivity training (Part 2). *NeuroConnections*, 9–12.
- Collura, T. F. (2009). Neuronal dynamics in relation to normative electroencephalography assessment and training. *Biofeedback*, 36, 134–139.
- Collura, T. F., & Thatcher, R. W. (2006, April 29). Real-time EEG Z-score training, realities and prospects. Bedford, OH: BrainMaster Technologies, Inc, and Applied Neurosciences, Inc.
- Collura, T. F., Thatcher, R. W., Smith, M. L., Lambos, W. A., & Stark, C. A. (2009). EEG biofeedback training using live Z-scores and a normative database. In T. Budzynski, H. Budzynski, J. Evans, & A. Abarbanal (Eds.), *Introduction to quantitative EEG and neurofeedback* (2nd ed., pp. 103–141). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- DuRousseau, D. (2007, September). Simultaneous training of slow cortical potentials & coherence in children with AD/HD using realtime Z-score neuro-feedback: A preliminary study. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the International Society for Neurofeedback and Research.
- Rutter, P. (2009, April). Reconnecting our lost children to the world – Z-score training and autistic disorder. Paper presented at the 40th annual meeting of the Association for Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback, Albuquerque, NM.
- Smith, M. L. (2008, April). A father finds a solution: Z-score training. *NeuroConnections*, 22–25.
- Stark, C. (2008, April). Consistent dynamic Z-score patterns observed during Z-score training sessions. *NeuroConnections*, 37–38.
- Thatcher, R. W. (1998). EEG normative databases and EEG biofeedback. *Journal of Neurotherapy*, 2(4), 8–39.
- Thatcher, R. W. (1999) EEG database guided neurotherapy. In J. R. Evans & A. Abarbanal (Eds.), Introduction to quantitative EEG and neurofeedback (pp. 72–93). San Diego, CA: Academic.
- Thatcher, R. W. (2004) Z-score EEG biofeedback— Technical foundations. St. Petersburg, FL: Applied Neurosciences, Inc.
- Wigton, N. (2008, August–September). *Does Z-score NF work better than non Z-score NF*? Paper presented at the 16th Annual International Society for Neurofeedback and Research Conference, San Antonio, TX.